FISCALESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/98)		DATED PLEMENTAL	AB 234 1999 Session LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No. - 2330/1 Amendment No. if Applicable		
Supreme Court	Candidates Campai	gn Fund and Co	urt Fees		
Fiscal Effect		·			
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.			☐ Increase Costs – May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No		
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Create New Appropriation		Decrease	☐ Decrease Costs		
Local: No local government costs		L			
Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Mandatory	4. Decrease Revenues	andatory Towns Counties andatory School Di	of Local Governmental Units Affected: Villages Cities Others Stricts WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected		Affected Ch. 20 Appropris	ations		
Ø GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐	PRS SEG SEG-S	<u> </u>			
all eligible cand maximum grant to filing fee of most by \$1.00 and profund. In 1998 there we circuit courts the	s a sum sufficient didates for the off which they are entert civil actions convides that it would be affectional revenue would	fice of justice itled. It allow the deposited 000 civil actual by this bi	e to receive the so increases the e circuit court in the general ions filed in the ll. Accordingly,		
Long-Range Fiscal Implications	1 A AL 2/3 C!	oturo/Tolophono No	Date		
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Director of State Co	urts Authorized Sign	ature/Telephone No. 6-6	1984 Date 17/99		

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	Γ	AB 234	1999 S	Session	
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect	LRB of Bill No.	Adm. Rule No.	o. Amendment No.		
DOA-2047 (R10/94)	. CORRECTED . SUPPLEMENTAL	-2330/1	 	<u> </u>	
Subject Supreme Court Can	npaign Fund Grants				
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts fo	r State and/or Local Government (do	not include in a	nnualized fisca	al effect):	
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:				
and the second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Increased Costs		Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category		\$	\$	~ ,	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		[.			
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance		_		_	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations	·		·		
TOTAL State Costs by Cate	gory	\$	\$	_	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs	
GPR		\$	\$	- .	
FED	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_	
PRO/PRS		<u> </u>			
SEG/SEG-S		<u> </u>		_	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)		Increased Rev.		Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes		\$	\$		
GPR Earned		+88,00	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
FED					
PRO/PRS				_	
SEG/SEG-S		_		_	
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		_	
N	ET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMI STATE	PACT	LOCAL		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES \$ +88,000		\$			
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Director of State Courts	Authorized Signature/	Telephone No.		Date 3/17/99	